

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/516,786	12/03/2004	Wolfgang Edeler	915-006.066 7338		
4955 WARE FRESS	7590 01/10/2007 OLA VAN DER SLUYS &	EXAMINER			
ADOLPHSON, LLP			DOAN, KIET M		
BRADFORD GREEN, BUILDING 5 755 MAIN STREET, P O BOX 224		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MONROE, CT	06468		2617		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	· 01/10/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/516,786	EDELER ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kiet Doan	2617		

	Kiet Doan	2617					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 04 December 2006 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a). AMENDMENTS							
	but prior to the date of filing a briof	will not be entered b	0031150				
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);							
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):							
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling th non-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ wi vided below or appended.	II be entered and an e	explanation of				
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	t before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	Is to provide a				
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER							
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: See office action.							
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	/4	-/				
		100EDH FEII (
•	SUP	PATENT	EXAMINER				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06) Application/Control Number: 10/516,786

Art Unit: 2617

DETAILED ACTION

This office action is response to Remarks file on 12/04/2006.

The offices acknowledge and thanks to the applicant's for treated typo error of reference Ramsey as reference to Suzuki on page 3 of the Final office action. Further, on page 2, under 35 U.S.C 103(a) the office did combine Osano and Suzuki and the teaching of Suzuki (page 3) for the rejection of claims 1 and 17.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed 12/04/2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

In response to applicant's argument in claims 1 and similar recited limitation in claim 17 that reference fails to disclose

"wherein said first contact is connected to ground and to an antenna input of said radio receiver and said second contact is connected to said amplifier"

"wherein band-pass filter component is interconnected between said first contact and said radio receiver".

Examiner respectfully disagrees, in Osano reference teaches "wherein said first contact is connected to ground and to an antenna input of said radio receiver and said second contact is connected to said amplifier" (C10, L60-67, Fig.8B, Illustrate and teach the plug No.83 contain grounding member No.46 wherein connected to ground through annular unit No.56 and to make more clear C7, L35-45, Fig.6, Illustrate the handheld telephone No.101 wherein contain earphone terminal No.22 for contact is connected to ground and to an antenna input of said radio receiver).

Application/Control Number: 10/516,786

Art Unit: 2617

Further, Suzuki (Patent No. 6,430,217) teaches "wherein band-pass filter component is interconnected between said first contact and said radio receiver" (C3, L1-25, C4, L26-35, Fig.2 Illustrate the telephone unit No.30 wherein contain transmitting and receiving jack No. 32/47 and the band pass filter No.33 as interconnected between said first contact and said radio receiver).

Therefore, examiner interpreted with the combinations of Osano and Suzuki such that "wherein said first contact is connected to ground and to an antenna input of said radio receiver and said second contact is connected to said amplifier"

"wherein band-pass filter component is interconnected between said first contact and said radio receiver" as broadest reasonable interpretation and it is proper.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kiet Doan whose telephone number is 571-272-7863. The examiner can normally be reached on 8am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph H. Feild can be reached on 571-272-4090. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Kiet Doan

Patent Examiner